The cyber-risk in cardiology: towards an investigation on the self-perception among the cardiologists
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Background: The strong demand for health data by cybercrime exposes hospital structures in particular to IT risks. The greater connectivity to existing IT networks has in fact exposed Administrations to new IT security vulnerabilities, as healthcare is an extremely interesting target for cybercrime for two fundamental reasons: on the one hand, it is a source rich in valuable data and on the other, very often, the defenses are weak.

Methods: The general purpose of the study was to investigate the cybersecurity in cardiology, a strategic field of the health care. The specific purpose of the study was: (I) to perform a first overview in this field; and (II) to investigate the opinion on the cyber-risk in cardiology directly interviewing the actors working in this field, using a properly designed questionnaire submitted using mobile technology.

Results: Fifty seven cardiologists participated in the study and filled the proposed questionnaire on their smartphone/tablet. From a global point of view the output of this work allowed to highlight some important issues related to the perception of the cybersecurity specifically on the actors working in the field of the cardiology as for example their opinion on the received and/or needed training.

Conclusions: A properly designed questionnaire has been: (I) proposed to investigate the perception of the cybersecurity among subjects working in cardiology; (II) successfully submitted to 57 cardiologists highlighting some critically important issues.
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Introduction

Cybersecurity in health care

Cybersecurity in the healthcare faces four main aspects in the cyber-system that can be either a complex medical device (1-12) and/or a complex interoperable and heterogeneous system embedding different components with informatics, mechanics, electronics, networks (13-15).

The data preservation
It is the need to ensure that digital information of prolonged value remains accessible and usable.
The exchange of data should take place in compliance with predetermined security requirements and provide for the implementation of adequate information protection measures.

**The interoperability and compliance**

Interoperability allows you to establish to what extent systems and devices are able to exchange data and interpret shared information. In order for two systems to be interoperable, they must be able to exchange data and subsequently present that data so that it is understandable by a user. Compliance, on the other hand, refers to the adoption of the same standards, as well as compliance with the regulations (both nationally and internationally) relating to the use of health data.

**The risks of cyber-attacks in healthcare**

The strong demand for health data by cybercrime exposes hospital structures in particular to IT risks. The greater connectivity to existing IT networks has in fact exposed Administrations to new IT security vulnerabilities, as healthcare is an extremely interesting target for cybercrime for two fundamental reasons: on the one hand, it is a source rich in valuable data and on the other, very often, the defenses are weak. Data breach violations can be caused by accidental events (e.g., loss of a USB stick or unregulated access to data) or malicious, and can result in the theft of health information, attacks of ransomware to hospitals (13-15), denial of service attacks and attacks on implanted medical devices [such as pace-makers (7-12) or artificial pancreas (1-6)] which can reduce patient confidence, paralyze health systems and threaten human life. Ultimately, cyber security is critical to patient safety, but has often been underestimated. This requires cyber security to become an integral part of patient safety through changes in human behavior, technology and processes as part of a holistic solution. Also because we must not forget that the health system is a complex system in which multiple factors, heterogeneous and dynamic, interact, including the plurality of health services, specialist skills and professional, technical-health and economic-administrative roles and the heterogeneity of the processes and results to be achieved. All the elements of the system must integrate and coordinate, to respond to the patient’s care needs and ensure the best possible care, as threats and safety hazards that can come to have can also be hidden between the folds of this dynamism and heterogeneity. Reflections on the “Clinical risk” (i.e., the possibility that a patient suffers involuntary damage or discomfort, attributable to health care) related to this are strongly needed. Indeed the clinical risk may cause an extension of the period of hospitalization, a worsening of health conditions or even, in extreme but possible cases, death (for example, think of a computer attack that hides, cancels, alters or exchanges patient information, effectively preventing the provision of adequate care). Of course, threats and vulnerabilities cannot be completely eliminated, so reducing security risks is particularly challenging. Furthermore, specific regulations are continuously evolving in this field, as in USA and Europe (16,17).

**Purpose**

The general purpose of the study was to investigate the cybersecurity in cardiology, a strategic field of the health care for the very high technological content both of the medical devices and the components of the care-systems.

The specific purpose of the study was:

(I) To perform a first overview in the field of the cardiology and;

(II) To investigate the opinion on the cyber-risk in cardiology directly interviewing the actors working in this field, using properly designed questionnaires delivered by means of the mobile technology.

**Methods**

The overview was conducted through the WEB and publication databases. The investigation of the opinion of the actors working in cardiology was performed by means of properly designed questionnaires. These questionnaires faced all the aspects of the cybersecurity that an actor working in this field can meet. The proposed questionnaires were therefore specific for the heart-care. They were rearranged starting from a previous version proposed at the Medicon 2019 (18); were developed using the software Microsoft-Forms and submitted to 57 cardiologists using WhatsApp to send the internet pointer.

**Results and discussion**

**The overview to the cyber-risk in cardiology**

In the challenge that sees doctors and administrators engaged in improving care in cardiology it is essential that the professionals and patients can securely exchange
reliable health information. The set of information and communication technologies (ICT) that allow remote processing and exchange of information in digital format is constantly evolving and cardiologists are among the main ones users: the use of remote monitoring, remote consultation, Apps is already a reality in cardiology. The recent discussions in cardiology have given a great importance to m-Health & e-Health recognizing that these technological revolutions will have a major impact on patients’ lives and the way people work. The use of these new technologies, of course, cannot be separated from people’s rights, in particular from those related to the protection of personal data, for example in Europe in view of the new European Regulation on the “Date Protection” (privacy), which specifies responsibilities and penalties for sector operators. Many giant steps have been taken in cardiology regarding technologies since the days of the electromechanical electrocardiogram with nips. Today cardiology uses exceptional wearable medical devices such as pacemakers, wearable digital holters, implantable defibrillators and other wearable and portable devices. However, all these technologies open cardiology to a whole new set of cyber security risks that were once unthinkable. FDA has shown that there are more than 350 thousand cardiac devices at risk of cyber-attacks (7-12), showing informatic vulnerabilities. Of course, cardiology makes use, as in the case of other disciplines, of all the advantages offered by digital imaging, data networks and new decision support and classification softwares, with the same cybersecurity risk (13-15). Figure 1 resumes the sectors of cyber-attacks in cardiology.

The use of the electromechanical electrocardiogram with nips, although today considered antiquated, did not present risks from cyber attacks. It has been shown today that for example (7-12):

Digital electrocardiograms can undergo cyber attacks: the display of an electrocardiogram under cyber attack can show untrue traces that lead the clinician to administer incorrect therapy.

Pacemakers, which are now opened to network connections to allow remote re-programming, can be subjected to various types of attacks, from those ones that cause them to drain the battery to those ones that induce an incorrect response.

Both in USA and in Europe the attention is high. Properly regulations have been proposed (16,17) involving also the field of the cybersecurity in cardiology.

The questionnaire

The design

The internet pointer of the questionnaire (QR) developed by means of Microsoft-Forms is the following one:

https://forms.office.com/Pages/ResponsePage.aspx?id=DSiK1sWsW0yxFqajBLZtrQAAAAA8AAAAAZ__gdk7kp UQ1AcV0xTOUxaM0RLUVIyTUZBSFIESUFYOC4u.

The Quick Response code is available in Figure 2. Figure 3 shows the initial section and the starting of the specialist section of the QR dedicated to the cardiologists.

The output of the submission

Several questions were proposed with graded scores (1= minimum, 5= maximum). The submission to 57 cardiologists, also conducted to verify the robustness of the method did not show criticalities in the distribution and collection on the net. At the moment the sample is growing. Targeted data mining will follow. From a first
Figure 2 The Quick response code associated to the questionnaire.

Figure 3 Two print screens from the survey. (A) The initial section of the questionnaire. (B) The starting of the specialist section dedicated to the cardiology.

Conclusions

The greater connectivity to existing IT networks is exposing the Administrations to new IT security vulnerabilities, as healthcare is an extremely interesting target for cybercrime for two fundamental reasons: on the one hand, it is a source rich in valuable data and on the other, very often, the defenses are weak. The study investigated the cybersecurity in cardiology, a strategic field of the health care. In particular the study performed a first overview in this field and investigated the opinion on the cyber-risk in cardiology directly interviewing the actors working in this field, using properly designed questionnaires designed using Microsoft-forms. Fifty seven cardiologists were recruited in the study and filled the proposed questionnaire. From a global point of view the study allowed to highlight some important issues related to the perception of the cybersecurity in cardiology as specifically perceived by the actors working in the field of the cardiology. The next steps will consider several directions such as:

(I) The investigation on further strategic fields of the digital health such as the digital radiology and the digital pathology.
(II) The involving of a high number of subjects in the study, asking aid to the scientific societies.
(III) The interaction with the stake-holders.
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